

SANTA ANA RIVER WATERSHED WEATHER MODIFICATION FOR WATER SUPPLY FEASIBILITY STUDY

MARK NORTON, PE, WATER RESOURCES & PLANNING MANAGER SANTA ANA WATERSHED PROJECT AUTHORITY

AUTHORITY ADMINISTRATOR, LESJWA



WxMod Purposes & Process

"Natural" weather

- Dust, ash, pollution nuclei
- Precipitation augmentation and snowpack enhancement, hail suppression, fog dispersal
- Super-cooled Liquid Water (SLW)
 - Silver iodide (AgI) as nuclei
- Ground (generators, flares) or aerial based
- 10% increase in precipitation
 - Within range of variability
- Not a drought buster

WxMod History

Background Started in the U.S. in 1940s Overselling, minimal science Misconceptions remain Advances since the 1940s WX forecasting Measurement Computing Seeding methods



Winter Conceptual Model



WxMod Users & Costs

150 programs in 40 countries and 11 states

- Ski areas, Power utilities
- Insurance companies
- Water resources agencies
- Conservation, and Irrigation districts
- Research institutes
- Costs
 - \$4-40/AF, including planning



North American Projects

Local sponsorship Education Outreach



Cloud Rustling

Downwind Effects Misconception

- "Robbing Peter to pay Paul"
- WxMod activates precipitation otherwise unavailable
- Long-term research (44+ studies) consistently shows no precipitation decreases; some downwind increases shown



Potential Environmental Effects

- Agl is not soluble or biologically available
- 50 years of physical, biological, aquatic, soils & vegetation studies found:
 - Subtle or indiscernable effects
 - Potentially beneficial (more runoff)
- Strong studies with credible results
- Newer assessment methods and regulations suggest continued research
- Consider cumulative effects, monitoring

Potential Health Effects

Silver Iodide (Agl) Not been measured above background Human effects No effects found in 50 years More silver exposure in tooth fillings More iodine in salt on food Concentrations EPA drinking water quality 0.1 mg/l U.S. Public Health Service level 0.5 mc/l Seeded rainfall is 0.1 mc/l

Increased Snowload

Avalanche Suspension criteria Snow removal Similar amount of effort required Flooding potential Agency coordination Crop yield / pasture value Economic trade-offs Snow removal v. water supply / tourism

Licensing and Permitting

Operators licensed Project permits issued Conditions and safeguards Record keeping and annual reporting State statutes Governmental immunity Liability insurance Separate from environmental Few legal challenges



ASCE Guidance

- Design and Operation of Precipitation Enhancement Projects (42-17)
- Manual on Engineering Practice #81, Guidelines for Cloud Seeding to Augment Precipitation (3rd edition)
- Design and Operation of Hail Suppression Projects (39-15)
- Design and Operation of Supercooled Fog Dispersal Projects (44-13)

California Projects

Since the 1950s 12-15 per year Winter orographic Water and power Described in California Water Plan



Wyoming WxMod Pilot Program

- State funded \$15 million over 10 years
- Randomized cross-over experiment
- Independent evaluation by NCAR
- Radiometers, snow chemistry, high resolution precipitation gauges







WWMPP Conclusions

- Statistical, physical, and modeling analysis shows cloud seeding is a viable technology
- Climatology study demonstrates that 30% of wintertime precipitation fell from seedable storms
- Half the time that seedable conditions were met there was no precipitation, indicating cloud seeding opportunities

Summary

Advances since the 1940s, misconceptions remain +5-15% increase within range of variability Cost-effective part of water operations portfolio None or positive downwind effects No environmental or health effects Local leadership, education, support is important Number of projects increasing Recent research answering key questions

On June 4, 2019 Tom Ryan from MWDSC discussed ongoing weather augmentation for water supply – cloud seeding programs with SAWPA Commission



RFP and Consultant Selection

- SAWPA directs staff to issue RFP for Santa Ana River Watershed Weather Augmentation Feasibility Study
- Two consultants responded to feasibility study RFP
 - North American Weather Consultants Inc.
 - RHS Consulting, Ltd.
- Proposal Review Team
 - SBVMWD, WMWD, OCWD, SAWPA, MWDSC
- North American Weather Consultants Inc.
 recommended and awarded contract for \$75K to conduct feasibility study



Ground Based Seeding Methods

CNG's (Cloud Nuclei Generators)



- Ideal for orographic lift (winds caused by land barriers)
- Create a continuous plume
- Inexpensive to install and operate

AHOGS (Automated High Output Ground Seeding) Systems



- Depend on strong convective storm attributes (turbulence)
- Deliver a higher concentration of Silver lodide rapid release
- Operated remotely

Aerial Seeding



Technical Feasibility

- Unlike commercial air traffic that quickly leaves an area of high traffic, cloud seeding aircraft occupy the same airspace for an extended period of time
- Flight tracks for the eastern target areas are more likely to receive FAA approvals during times of high traffic, and during periods of storm activity.

Economic Feasibility

- Land barriers must be of an appropriate size to benefit from aerial seeding
- Annual runoff must support the investment of an aerial component
- Preference should be given to areas with greater potential increases

Refined – Ground Seeding Sites



Yellow Pins = AHOGS Red Bullseyes = CNG's

Estimated Natural Annual Streamflow



Total Projected Increases

Ground Only Seeding

Target Area	Seasonal Precip.	Percent	Avg. Natural	Streamflow Increase	Percent
	Increase (inches)	Increase	Streamflow (AF)	(AF)	Increase
NW	0.41	3.5%	25,000	2,043	8.2%
NE	0.49	4.1%	65,000	4,330	6.7%
SW	0.59	3.7%	5,000	447	9.0%
SE	0.49	4.5%	10,000	1,373	13.7%
	TOTAL w/ Ground Only		105,000	8,193	7.8%

With Aerial Support in the NE Target

Target Area	Seasonal Precip.	Percent	Avg. Natural	Streamflow Increase	Percent
	Increase (inches)	Increase	Streamflow (AF)	(AF)	Increase
NW	0.41	3.5%	25,000	2,043	8.2%
NE	0.89	7.3%	65,000	7,772	12%
SW	0.59	3.7%	5,000	447	9.0%
SE	0.49	4.5%	10,000	1,373	13.7%
		TOTAL	105,000	11,635	11.1%

Estimates – Ground and Aerial Seeding

	Rat	e	Frequency			
Annual Operations						
Set Up		40,000	1	\$	40,000	
Take Down	\$	31,000	1	\$	31,000	
Reporting	\$	10,000	1	\$	10,000	
Monthly Operations						
Fixed Services	\$	55,000	5	\$	275,000	
Variable Items (timed expenses are billed on a per hour basis)						
Ground Flares	\$	110	60	\$	6,600	
Generator Run Time	\$	19.50	600	\$	11,700	
Flight Time	\$	375	30	\$	11,250	
Aerial Flares	\$	110	150	\$	16,500	
			TOTAL	\$	402,050	
	COST PER ACRE-FOOT \$			35.61		
	Benefit to Cost			7.16		

Pricing Estimates – Ground Based Seeding Only

	Rat	e	Frequency		
Annual Operations					
Set Up	\$	33,500	1	\$	33,500
Take Down	\$	24,000	1	\$	24,000
Reporting	\$	10,000	1	\$	10,000
Monthly Operations					
Fixed Services	\$	24,500	5	\$	122,500
Variable Items (timed expenses are billed on a per hour basis)					
Ground Flares	\$	110	60	\$	6,600
Generator Run Time	\$	19.50	600	\$	11,700
Flight Time		375	N/A		-
Aerial Flares	\$	110	N/A		-
			TOTAL	\$	208,300
	COST PER ACRE-FOOT \$			25.42	
	Benefit to Cost			10.03	

Next Steps

- Continue briefings to interested governing bodies and agencies in watershed
- Recommendations on next steps will be brought to SAWPA Commission upon review of new SAWPA GM.
 - Study of Ground Based Seeding Unit Sites and Access
 - CEQA/Permits

Potential cost share partner agencies and companies who may benefit are being approached by SAWPA



Recommendation

Receive and file the SAWPA feasibility study results as well as SAWPA's continued investigation and CEQA preparation for a Santa Ana River Watershed Weather Modification Program.